

Online full text at www.urologyannals.com





Official Publication of The Saudi Urological Association

Saudi Oncology Society clinical management guidelines for prostate cancer

Ashraf J Abusamra, Shouki Bazarbashi, Yasser Bahader, Hussain Kushi, Dany Rabbah, Naser Al Bogami, Khalid Al Ghamdi, Abdullah Al Ghamdi, Khaled Balaraj, Raouf Seyam, Mohammed Al Otaibi, Eyad Al Saeed

> Departments of Surgery and Oncology, King Abdul-Aziz Medical City, Jeddah, Saudi Arabian National Guard Health Affairs, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

In this report, guidelines for the evaluation, medical and surgical management is presented. It is categorized according to the stage of the disease using the tumor node metastasis staging system, 7th edition. The recommendations are presented with supporting level of evidence.

Key Words: Prostate, cancer, guidelines, Saudi, management

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Ashraf Abusamra, Consultant of Urology, and Oncology, Divisions of Urology & Oncology, Departments of Surgery & Oncology, King Abdul-Aziz Medical City, Jeddah, Saudi Arabian National Guard Health Affairs, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. E-mail: ashraf.abusamra@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

A total of 1869 cases of prostate cancer were recorded in Saudi Arabia between the year 1994 and 2004. The number of cases has been increasing steadily from 151 in 1994 to a peak of 243 cases in 2004.^[1]

1. STAGING EVALUATION

Once diagnosis is confirmed, the following staging evaluation should be done:

- I.I. CT or MRI abdomen and pelvis: this will only be done when the chance of lymph node metastasis is more than 10% based on Partin predictive tables (EL-2).[2-3] See Tables I and 2
- 1.2. Bone scan: should be done if any of the following (EL-2):[4-5]
- I.2.I. PSA level more than 20
- 1.2.2. Patients with bony pain
- 1.2.3. Gleason score more than 7
- 1.2.4. Patient with clinical stage T3 or 4

Access this article online					
Quick Response Code:	Website:				
	www.urologyannals.com				
	DOI: 10.4103/0974-7796.78550				

2. STAGING CLASSIFICATION

The TNM staging will be used^[6]

Primary tumor (T)

TX	Tumor cannot be assessed
TO	No evidence of primary tumor
TI	Clinically not palpable or visible by imaging
TIa	Found incidental to other surgery; present in 5%
	or less of tissue
TIb	Found incidental to other surgery; present in 5%
	or more of tissue
TIc	Identified by needle biopsy
T2	Tumor confined within prostate
T2a	Involving half a lobe or less of prostate
T2b	Involving half a lobe
T2c	Involving both lobes
Т3	Tumor extends through prostate capsule
T3a	Extends through one lobe
ТЗЬ	Extends through both lobes
T3c	Extends into seminal vesicles
T4	Involves structures other than seminal vesicles
T4a	Invades bladder neck, external sphincter, or rectum
T4b	Invades muscles and/or pelvic wall
Regional Is	emph nodes (N)

Region	al lymph nodes (N)
NX	Nodes cannot be assessed
NO	No regional node metastasis
NI	Single node metastasis, 2 centimeters (cm) or less
	at largest point

Ta	hl	e 1	ŀ	Pa	rtin	ta	h	les

Gleason			Clinical stage	Clinical stage			
	T1c	stage T2a	T2b	T2c			
Prediction of probability of organ-confined disease:							
Serum PSA = 0.0-2.5 ng/mL							
2-4	95 (89-99)	91 (79-98)	88 (73-97)	86 (71-97)			
5-6	90 (88–93)	81 (77–85)	75 (69-81)	73 (63–81)			
3 + 4 = 7	79 (74–85)	64 (56-71)	54 (46-63)	51 (38-63)			
4 + 3 = 7	71 (62-79)	53 (43-63)	43 (33-54)	39 (26-54)			
8-10	66 (54-76)	47 (35-59)	37 (26-49)	34 (21-48)			
Serum PSA = 2.6-4.0 ng/mL							
2-4	92 (82-98)	85 (69-96)	80 (61–95)	78 (58-94)			
5-6	84 (81-86)	71 (66-75)	63 (57-69)	61 (50-70)			
3 + 4 = 7	68 (62-74)	50 (43-57)	41 (33-48)	38 (27-50)			
4 + 3 = 7	58 (48-67)	39 (30-48)	30 (22-39)	27 (18-40)			
8-10	52 (41–63)	33 (24-44)	25 (17-34)	23 (14-34)			
	= 4.1-6.0 ng/mL	,	. ,	, ,			
2-4	90 (78–98)	81 (63-95)	75 (55-93)	73 (52-93)			
5-6	80 (78-83)	66 (62–70)	57 (52-63)	55 (44-64)			
3 + 4 = 7	63 (58–68)	44 (39–50)	35 (29-40)	31 (23-41)			
4 + 3 = 7	52 (43-60)	33 (25-41)	25 (18–32)	21 (14–31)			
8-10		28 (20–37)	21 (14–29)	18 (11–28)			
8-10 46 (38-56) 28 (20-37) 21 (14-29) 18 (11-28) Serum PSA 6.1-10 ng/mL							
2-4	87 (73–97)	76 (56-94)	69 (47-91)	67 (45-91)			
5-6	75 (72–77)	`	49 (43–54)				
3 + 4 = 7	,	58 (54-61)	, ,	46 (36–56)			
	54 (49-59)	35 (30–40)	26 (22–31)	24 (17-32)			
4 + 3 = 7	43 (35-51)	25 (19-32)	19 (14–25)	16 (10-24)			
8-10	37 (28–46)	21 (15–28)	15 (10–21)	13 (8–20)			
Serum PSA		(5 (40, 00)	57 (OF O()	54 (00 05)			
2-4	80 (61–95)	65 (43–89)	57 (35–86)	54 (32–85)			
5-6	62 (58–64)	42 (38–46)	33 (28–38)	30 (21–38)			
3 + 4 = 7	37 (32–42)	20 (17-24)	14 (11–17)	11 (7–17)			
4 + 3 =7	27 (21–34)	14 (10–18)	9 (6–13)	7 (4–12)			
8-10	22 (16–30)	11 (7–15)	7 (4–10)	6 (3–10)			
N2	Single node	metastasis,	2 cm to 5 c	m at largest			
	point, or multiple nodes, no larger than 5 cm at						
			,, 110 larger t	Cin at			
	largest point						
N3	Metastasis la	arger than 5	cm in any n	ode			
Distant metastasis (M)							
MX	Metastasis c		essed				
MO	No distant r		nesseu				
_							
MI	Distant metastasis						
MIa	Distant lymph node(s) involved						
N / T 1	D (): 1 1						

3. MANAGEMENT OPTIONS

Bone(s) involved

Other site(s) involved

MIb

MIc

This will depend on the stage (localized vs metastatic), the risk group and life expectancy.^[7]

- 3.1. Localized disease
- 3.1.1. Low risk: options of therapy depend on the following factors:
- 3.1.1.1. If the patient is asymptomatic with life expectancy

Table 2: Partin's table risk groups

	PSA		Gleason		Clinical
			score		stage
Low risk	<10 ng/ml	AND	<6	AND	T1-T2a
Intermediate risk	10-20 ng/ml	OR	7	OR	T2b-T2c
High risk	>20 ng/ml	OR	8-10	OR	T3-T4

less than 5 years: no further intervention required until he becomes symptomatic.^[8-10] (EL-2)

- 3.I.I.2. If asymptomatic with life expectancy between 5 and 10 years: active surveillance (involves active monitoring of the course of disease with the expectation to intervene if the cancer progresses). [8-10] (EL-2)
- 3.1.1.3. If asymptomatic with life expectancy more than 10 years: options include active surveillance^[10] or radical prostatectomy (RP)^[11] or EBRT.^[12] (EL-2)
- 3.1.1.4. All RPs should be done in tertiary care centers by high-volume surgeons.^[13] (EL-2)
- 3.1.1.5. Lymphadenectomy is usually omitted if the chance of being positive is less than 2%.^[14] (EL-2)
- 3.1.1.6. 3D conformal EBRT or Intensity-modulated EBRT (IMRT) are the only acceptable form of radiation therapy. The minimum dose should be not less than 72 Gy.^[12,15-19] (EL-2)
- 3.1.1.7. The choice of therapy should depend on the patient's general condition, his preference and side-effect profile.
- 3.1.2. Intermediate risk: options of therapy depend on the following factors:
- 3.1.2.1. If life expectancy less than 5 years: patient will have no further intervention until he becomes symptomatic.^[8-10] (EL-2)
- 3.1.2.2. If life expectancy between 5 and 10 years: options include active surveillance^[10] or EBRT+ 6 months of androgen deprivation therapy (ADT)^[12,20] or RP.^[11] (EL-2).
- 3.1.2.3. If life expectancy more than 10 years: Options are RP with extended lymphadenectomy^[11,21] (EL-I) or EBRT + 6 months of ADT (Radiation therapy to cover pelvic lymph nodes if chance of involvement is more than 15%).^[12,15-16] (EL-2)
- 3.1.2.4. Patients who have pT3 should undergo adjuvant EBRT to prostatic bed (66 Gy). [22-26] (EL-2)
- 3.1.2.5. Patients who have positive margin following RP might undergo adjuvant EBRT to prostatic bed^[22-26] or surveillance.^[27-28] (EL-2)
- 3.1.3. High risk: Options include RP + extended lymphadenectomy^[29-30] (EL-3) or EBRT (to include pelvic lymph nodes) with 2–3 years of ADT.^[31-37] (EL-1)

- Patients who have advanced local disease and are unfit for the above two options may be given ADT.^[38] (EL-I)
- 3.1.4. Follow up after curative therapy: Patients should have a disease-specific history, serum PSA and digital rectal examination (DRE) at 3, 6 and 12 months after therapy, then every 6 months for 3 years and then annually.^[39] (EL-3)
- 3.1.5. Management of recurrence post RP:
- 3.1.5.1. Definition: recurrence post-radical prostatectomy is defined by PSA level more than 0.2 ng/dl.[40-41]
- 3.1.5.2. Factors helping to differentiate local relapse or distant metastasis are: the timing of PSA increase after surgery, PSA doubling time (PSADT) and the stage and Gleason score at the time of surgery. [42,43]
- 3.1.5.3. Management will depend on the time of detectable PSA level. [43-49] (EL-2);
- 3.1.5.3.1. If PSA is increased in the initial two years of RP, this likely indicates systemic disease. However in order not to miss local recurrence, repeat PSA will be done after 3 months.
- 3.1.5.3.1.1. If PSADT is less than 4 months then patient should be treated with ADT (see below).
- 3.1.5.3.1.2. If PSADT more than 12 months this likely indicates local recurrence and patient should receive salvage EBRT.
- 3.1.5.3.1.3. If PSADT is between 4 and 12 months then patient may receive combination of salvage EBRT and hormonal therapy.
- 3.1.5.3.2. If PSA increase occurs after two years of surgery, then this likely indicates local recurrence. However in order not to miss systemic disease repeat PSA will be done after 3 months:
- 3.1.5.3.2.1. If PSADT is less than 4 months then patient should be treated with hormone therapy (see below)
- 3.1.5.3.2.2. If PSADT more than 12 months this likely indicates local recurrence and patient should receive salvage EBRT before PSA reaches 0.5 ng/dl
- 3.1.5.3.2.3. If PSADT is between 4 and 12 months then patient may receive combination of salvage EBRT and hormonal therapy
- 3.1.5.3.3. Bone scan and CT scan are of no diagnostic value unless PSA value is higher than 20 ng/dl^[50-52] (EL-2).
- 3.1.6. Management of local recurrence after EBRT
- 3.I.6.I. Definition: a PSA rise 2 ng/mL above the PSA nadir is the most reliable indication for recurrence^[53-5+] (EL-2). However local recurrence is defined by the presence of all of the

- following:[55-56]
- 3.1.6.1.1. A prostatic biopsy showing malignant cells 18 months or longer after EBRT
- 3.1.6.1.2. Associated rise in PSA
- 3.1.6.1.3. No evidence of distant metastasis documented by CT scan or MRI and bone scan
- 3.1.6.2. Options of therapy include: observation up to PSA of 10 ng/dl, complete androgen blockade, and intermittent androgen deprivation.^[57] In carefully selected patients, salvage prostatectomy, cryosurgery and brachytherapy might be considered.^[58-61]
- 3.2. Advanced disease (including recurrence and metastasis):
- 3.2.1. Hormone responsive disease:
- 3.2.1.1. ADT palliates symptoms and reduces the risk for potentially catastrophic sequelae of advanced disease (spinal cord compression, pathological fractures, ureteral obstruction, extraskeletal metastasis). [62-64] (EL-I)
- 3.2.1.2. Options of therapy include: orchiectomy, LHRH agonists, anti-androgen monotherapy, and complete androgen blockade (CAB) continuous or intermittent. [62-64]
- 3.2.1.3. High-risk patients with high PSADT, high initial PSA and symptomatic patient should preferably receive combined androgen blockade, while patients with low initial PSA, low PSADT and minimal symptoms may receive castration alone. [65-72] (EL-2)
- 3.2.1.4. Castrate level of testosterone should be less than $20 \text{ ng/dl } (0.7 \text{ nmol/L}).^{[73-74]}$
- 3.2.1.5. In case of intermittent androgen blockade (EL-2), the following should be observed:^[75-89]
- 3.2.1.5.1. Complete androgen blockade (anti-androgen and LHRH) should be used
- 3.2.1.5.2. Initial induction cycle should be between 6 and 9 months
- 3.2.1.5.3. Treatment is usually stopped only if the patient is compliant, showed PSA response with PSA less than 4 ng/dl in patients with metastatic disease and less than 0.5 ng/dl in recurrent disease post-local therapy
- 3.2.1.5.4. Therapy is re-instituted for 3–6 months if PSA reaches I0–I5 ng/dl in metastatic disease or 4 ng/dl in recurrent disease post-local therapy. Cycles repeated as above.
- 3.2.2. Castrate resistant prostate cancer (CRPC):
- 3.2.2.1. Definition: Two consecutive rises in PSA in the presence of castrate level of testosterone.
- 3.2.2.2. Options of therapy include:

- 3.2.2.2.1. In patients on monotherapy with LHRH agonist only or had orchiectomy as monotherapy: non-steroidal anti-androgen should be added. [90-91] (EL-2)
- 3.2.2.2.2. In patients on combination therapy (complete androgen blockade: LHRH agonist (or orchiectomy) + non-steroidal anti-androgen), anti-androgen withdrawal should be done. [90-94] (EL-2)
- 3.2.2.2.3. Patients who fails above measure can have one of the following options: anti-androgen substitution (bicalutamide or nilutamide)^[95] (EL-2), Ketoconazole^[94] (EL-2), systemic chemotherapy.
- 3.2.2.4. Systemic chemotherapy in the form of Docetaxel and prednisone should be offered only to patients with performance status 0-2 by ECOG scale. [96-98] (EL-I)
- 3.2.2.2.5. Patients with CRPC who were on LHRH agonists should continue on them indefinitely. [99-101] (EL-3)
- 3.2.2.2.6. Patients who fail Docetaxel-based chemotherapy should be offered second-line chemotherapy with Cabazitaxel and prednisone if in good performance status.^[102] (EL-I)
- 3.2.2.2.7. Patients with advanced disease and bone metastatic should receive zoledronic acid^[103-105] (EL-I) or Rank-ligand antibodies (Denosumab) therapy every 4 weeks.^[106] (EL-I)

REFERENCES

- Haya S. Al-Eid HS, Arteh SO, Bazarbashi S. Kingdom of Saudi Arabia Ministry of Health, Saudi Cancer Registry, Cancer Incidence Report 2004. Available from: http://www.SCR.org.sa Available from: http://www.scr.org.sa/reports/SCR2004.pdf [Last accessed on 2004].
- Makarov DV, Trock BJ, Humphreys EB, Mangold LA, Walsh PC, Epstein JI, et al. Updated nomogram to predict pathologic stage of prostate cancer given prostate-specific antigen level, clinical stage, and biopsy Gleason score (Partin tables) based on cases from 2000 to 2005. Urology 2007;69:1095-101.
- Hoivels AM, Heesakkers RA, Adang EM, Jager GJ, Strum S, Hoogeveen YL, et al. The diagnostic accuracy of CT and MRI in the staging of pelvic lymph nodes in patients with prostate cancer: a meta-analysis. Clin Radiol 2008;63:387-95.
- Kemp PM, Maguire GA, Bird NJ. Which patients with prostatic carcinoma require a staging bone scan? Br J Urol 1997;79:611-4.
- Lee N, Fawaaz R, Olsson CA, Benson MC, Petrylak DP, Schiff PB, et al. Which patients with newly diagnosed prostate cancer need a radionuclide bone scan? An analysis based on 631 patients. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2000;48:1443-6.
- Sobin LH, Gospodariwicz M, Wittekind C editors. TNM classification of malignant tumors. UICC International Union Against Cancer. 7th ed. Wiley-Blackwell, 2009. p. 243-8.
- D'Amico AV, Whittington R, Malkowicz SB, Schultz D, Blank K, Broderick GA, et al. Biochemical outcome after radical prostatectomy, external beam radiation therapy, or interstitial radiation therapy for clinically localized prostate cancer. JAMA 1998;280:969-74.
- 8. Chodak GW, Thisted RA, Gerber GS, Johansson JE, Adolfsson J, Jones

- GW, et al. Results of conservative management of clinically localized prostate cancer. N Engl J Med 1994;330:242-8.
- Albertsen PC, Hanley JA, Gleason DF, Barry MJ. Competing risk analysis of men aged 55 to 74 years at diagnosis managed conservatively for clinically localized prostate cancer. JAMA 1998;280:975-80.
- Klotz L. Active surveillance for prostate cancer: For whom? J Clin Oncol 2005;23:8165-9.
- Bill-Axelson A, Holmberg L, Ruutu M, Häggman M, Andersson SO, Bratell S, et al. Scandinavian Prostate Cancer Group study no. 4: radical prostatectomy versus watchful waiting in early prostate cancer. N Eng J Med 2005;352:1977-84.
- Kupelian P, Kuban D, Thames H, Levy L, Horwitz E, Martinez A, et al. Improved biochemical relapse-free survival with increased external radiation doses in patients with localized prostate cancer: The combined experience of nine institutions in patients treated in 1994 and 1995. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2005;61:415-9.
- Eastham JA, Kattan MW, Riedel E, Begg CB, Wheeler TM, Gerigk C, et al. Variations among individual surgeons in the rate of positive surgical margins in radical prostatectomy specimens. J Urol 2003;170:2292-5.
- Cagiannos I, Karakiewicz P, Eastham JA, Ohori M, Rabbani F, Gerigk C, et al. A preoperative nomogram identifying decreased risk of positive pelvic lymph nodes in patients with prostate cancer. J Urol 2003;170:1798-803.
- Kuban DA, Tucker SL, Dong L, Starkshall G, Huang EH, Cheung MR, et al. Long term results of the MD Anderson randomized dose-escalation trial for prostate cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2008;70:67-74.
- Zietman AL, DeSilvio ML, Slater JD, Rossi CJ, Miller DW, Adams JA, et al. Comparison of conventional-dose vs high-dose conformal radiation therapy in clinically localized adenocarcinoma of the prostate. A randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2005;294:1233-9.
- Zelefsky MJ, Leibel SA, Gaudin PB, Kutcher GJ, Fleshner NE, Venkatramen ES, et al. Dose escalation with three-dimensional conformal radiation therapy affects the outcome in prostate cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1998;41:491-500.
- Zelefsky MJ, Chan H, Hunt M, Yamada Y, Shippy AM, Amols H. Long-term outcome of high dose intensity modulated radiation therapy for patients with clinically localized prostate cancer. J Urol 2006;176:1415-9.
- Chung P, Haycocks T, Panzaralla T, Warde PR, Gospo-darowicz MK, Milosevic M, et al. 357 Oral results of escalated dose 3D-conformal radiotherapy (3D- CRT) for intermediate risk prostate carcinomabiochemical response and local control. Radiat Oncol 2002;S120.
- D'Amico AV, Chen MH, Renshaw AA, Loffredo M, Kantoff PW. Androgen suppression and radiation vs radiation alone for prostate cancer; A randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2008;299:289-95.
- Heidenreich A, Ohlmann CH, Polyakov S. Anatomical extent of pelvic lymphadenectomy in patients undergoing radical prostatectomy. Eur Urol 2007;52:29-37.
- Bolla M, van Poppel H, Collette L, van Cangh P, Vekemans K, Da Pozzo L, et al. European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer. Postoperative radiotherapy after radical prostatectomy: A randomized controlled trial (EORTC trial 22911). Lancet 2005;366:572-8.
- Van der Kwast TH, Bolla M, Van Poppel H, Van Cangh P, Vekemans K, Da Pozzo L, et al. Identification of patients with prostate cancer who benefit from immediate postoperative radiotherapy: EORTC 22911. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:4178-86.
- Wiegel T, Bottke D, Steiner U, Siegmann A, Golz R, Störkel S, et al. Phase III
 postoperative adjuvant radiotherapy after radical prostatectomy compared
 with radical prostatectomy alone in pT3 prostate cancer with postoperative
 undetectable prostate-specific antigen: ARO 96-02/ AUO AP 09/95. J Clin
 Oncol 2009;27:2924-30.
- Swanson GP, Thompson IM, Tangen C, Paradelo J, Cany-Hagino E, Crawford ED, et al. Update of SWOG 8794: Adjuvant radiotherapy for pT3 prostate cancer improves metastasis free survival. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2008;72:S31.
- Thompson IM, Tangen CM, Paradelo J, Lucia MS, Miller G, Troyer D, et al.
 Adjuvant radiotherapy for pathological T3N0M0 prostate cancer significantly
 reduces risk of metastases and improves survival: Long-term followup of a

- randomized clinical trial. J Urol 2009;181:956-62.
- Stephenson AJ, Scardino PT, Kattan MW, Pisansky TM, Slawin KM, Klein EA, et al. Predicting the outcome of salvage radiation therapy for recurrent prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:2035-41.
- Wiegel T, Lohm G, Bottke D, Höcht S, Miller K, Siegmann A, et al. Achieving an undetectable PSA after radiotherapy for biochemical progression after radical prostatectomy is an independent predictor of biochemical outcome

 –results of a retrospective study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2009;73:1009-16.
- Yossepowitch O, Eggener SE, Bianco FJ Jr, Carver BS, Serio A, Scardino PT, et al. Radical prostatectomy for clinically localized, high risk prostate cancer: Critical analysis of risk assessment methods. J Urol 2007;178:493-9.
- Lau WK, Bergstralh EJ, Blute ML, Slezak JM, Zincke H. Radical prostatectomy for pathological Gleason 8 or greater prostate cancer: Influence of concomitant pathological variables. J Urol. 2002;167:117-22.
- Pollack A, Zagars GK, Smith LG, Lee JJ, von Eschenbach AC, Antolak JA, et al. Preliminary results of a randomized radiotherapy dose-escalation study comparing 70 Gy with 78 Gy for prostate cancer. J Clin Oncol 2000;18:3904-11.
- Pollack A, Zagars GK, Starkschall G, Antolak JA, Lee JJ, Huang E, et al. Prostate cancer radiation dose response. Result of the MD Anderson phase III randomized trial. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2002;53:1097-105.
- Bolla M, Collette L, Blank L, Warde P, Dubois JB, Mirimanoff RO, et al. Long-term results with immediate androgen suppression and external irradiation in patients with locally advanced prostate cancer (an EORTC study): a phase III randomised trial. Lancet 2002;360:103-6.
- Bolla M, Gonzalez D, Warde P, Dubois JB, Mirimanoff RO, Storme G, et al. Improved survival in patients with locally advanced prostate cancer treated with radiotherapy and goserelin. N Engl J Med 1997;337:295-300.
- Pilepich MV, Winter K, John MJ, Mesic JB, Sause W, Rubin P, et al. Phase III
 radiation therapy oncology group (RTOG) trial 86-10 of androgen deprivation
 adjuvant to definitive radiotherapy in locally advanced carcinoma of the
 prostate. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2001;50:1243-52.
- Pilepich MV, Winter K, Lawton CA, Krisch RE, Wolkov HB, Movsas B, et al. Androgen suppression adjuvant to definitive radiotherapy in prostate carcinoma--long-term results of phase III RTOG 85-31. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2005;61:1285-90.
- Hanks GE, Pajak TF, Porter A, Grignon D, Brereton H, Venkatesan V, et al.
 Radiation Therapy Oncology Group. Phase III trial of long-term adjuvant
 androgen deprivation after neoadjuvant hormonal cytoreduction and
 radiotherapy in locally advanced carcinoma of the prostate: The Radiation
 Therapy Oncology Group Protocol 92-02. J Clin Oncol 2003;21:3972-8.
- Studer UE, Whelan P, Albrecht W, Casselman J, de Reijke T, Hauri D, et al. Immediate or deferred androgen deprivation for patients with prostate cancer not suitable for local treatment with curative intent: European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) Trial 30891. J Clin Oncol 2006;24:1868-76.
- Pound CR, Partin AW, Eisenberger MA, Chan DW, Pearson JD, Walsh PC. Natural history of progression after PSA elevation following radical prostatectomy. JAMA 1999;281:1591-7.
- Boccon-Gibod L, Djavan WB, Hammerer P, Hoeltl W, Kattan MW, Prayer-Galetti T, et al. Management of prostate-specific antigen relapse in prostate cancer: A European Consensus. Int J Clin Pract 2004;58:382-90.
- Moul JW. Prostate specific antigen only progression of prostate cancer. J Urol 2000;163:1632-42.
- Partin AW, Pearson JD, Landis PK, Carter HB, Pound CR, Clemens JQ, et al. Evaluation of serum prostate-specific antigen velocity after radical prostatectomy to distinguish local recurrence from distant metastases. Urology 1994;43:649-59.
- Trapasso JG, deKernion JB, Smith RB, Dorey F. The incidence and significance of detectable levels of serum prostate specific antigen after radical prostatectomy. J Urol 1994;152:1821-5.
- Cheung R, Kamat AM, de Crevoisier R, Allen PK, Lee AK, Tucker SL, et al. Outcome of salvage radiotherapy for biochemical failure after radical prostatectomy with or without hormonal therapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2005;63:134-40.
- 45. Lee AK, D'Amico AV. Utility of prostate-specific antigen kinetics in addition

- to clinical factors in the selection of patients for salvage local therapy. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:8192-7.
- Patel R, Lepor H, Thiel RP, Taneja SS. Prostate-specific antigen velocity accurately predicts response to salvage radiotherapy in men with biochemical relapse after radical prostatectomy. Urology 2005;65:942-6.
- Stephenson AJ, Shariat SF, Zelefsky MJ, Kattan MW, Butler EB, Teh BS, et al. Salvage radiotherapy for recurrent prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy. JAMA 2004;291:1325-32.
- Ward JF, Zincke H, Bergstralh EJ, Slezak JM, Blute ML. Prostate specific antigen doubling time subsequent to radical prostatectomy as a prognosticator of outcome following salvage radiotherapy. J Urol 2004;172:2244-8.
- Trock BJ, Han M, Freedland SJ, Humphreys EB, DeWeese TL, Partin AW, et al. Prostate cancer-specific survival following salvage radiotherapy vs observation in men with biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy. JAMA 2008:299:2760-9.
- Cher ML, Bianco FJ Jr, Lam JS, Davis LP, Grignon DJ, Sakr WA, et al. Limited role of radionuclide bone scintigraphy in patients with prostate specific antigen elevations after radical prostatectomy. J Urol 1998;160:1387-91.
- Kane CJ, Amling CL, Johnstone PA, Pak N, Lance RS, Thrasher B, et al. Limited value of bone scintigraphy and computed tomography in assessing biochemical failure after radical prostatectomy. Urology 2003;61:607-11.
- Gomez P, Manoharan M, Kim SS, Soloway MS. Radionuclide bone scintigraphy in patients with biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy: When is it indicated? BJU Int 2004;94:299-302.
- American Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology Consensus Panel. Consensus statement: Guidelines for PSA following radiation therapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1997;37:1035-41.
- Roach M 3rd, Hanks G, Thames H Jr, Schellhammer P, Shipley WU, Sokol GH, et al. Defining biochemical failure following radiotherapy with or without hormonal therapy in men with clinically localized prostate cancer: Recommendations of the RTOG-ASTRO Phoenix Consensus Conference. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2006;65:965-74.
- Cox JD, Gallagher MJ, Hammond EH, Kaplan RS, Schellhammer PF. Consensus statements on radiation therapy of prostate cancer: guidelines for prostate re-biopsy after radiation and for radiation therapy with rising prostate-specific antigen levels after radical prostatectomy. American Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology Consensus Panel. J Clin Oncol 1999:17:1155.
- Taylor JM, Griffith KA, Sandler HM. Definitions of biochemical failure in prostate cancer following radiation therapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2001;50:1212-9.
- Pinover WH, Horwitz EM, Hanlon AL, Uzzo RG, Hanks GE. Validation of a treatment policy for patients with prostate specific antigen failure after threedimensional conformal prostate radiation therapy. Cancer 2003;97:1127-33.
- Heidenreich A, Richter S, Thüer D, Pfister D. Prognostic parameters, complications, and oncologic and functional outcome of salvage radical prostatectomy for locally recurrent prostate cancer after 21st-century radiotherapy. Eur Urol 2010;57:437-43.
- Pisters LL, Rewcastle JC, Donnelly BJ, Lugnani FM, Katz AE, Jones JS. Salvage prostate cryoablation: initial results from the cryo on-line data registry. J Urol 2008;180:559-63.
- Burri RJ, Stone NN, Unger P, Stock RG. Long-term outcome and toxicity of salvage brachytherapy for local failure after initial radiotherapy for prostate cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2010;77:1338-44.
- Moman MR, van der Poel HG, Battermann JJ, Moerland MA, van Vulpen M. Treatment outcome and toxicity after salvage 125-I implantation for prostate cancer recurrences after primary 125-I implantation and external beam radiotherapy. Brachytherapy 2010;9:119-25.
- Seidenfeld J, Samson DJ, Hasselblad V, Aronson N, Albertsen PC, Bennett CL, et al. Single-therapy androgen suppression in men with advanced prostate cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med 2000;132:566-77.
- Samson DJ, Seidenfeld J, Schmitt B, Hasselblad V, Albertsen PC, Bennett CL, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of monotherapy compared

- with combined androgen blockade for patients with advanced prostate carcinoma. Cancer 2002;95:361-76.
- 64. Iversen P, Tyrrell CJ, Kaisary AV, Anderson JB, Baert L, Tammela T, et al. Casodex (bicalutamide) 150-mg monotherapy compared with castration in patients with previously untreated nonmetastatic prostate cancer: results from two multicenter randomized trials at a median follow-up of 4 years. Urology 1998;51:389-96.
- Moul JW. Twenty years of controversy surrounding combined androgen blockade for advanced prostate cancer. Cancer 2009;115:3376-8.
- Seidenfeld J, Samson DJ, Aronson N, Albertson PC, Bayoumi AM, Bennett C, et al. Relative effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of methods of androgen suppression in the treatment of advanced prostate cancer. Evid Rep Technol Assess (Summ). 1999;(4):i-x,1-246, I1-36, passim.
- Prostate Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group. Maximum androgen blockade in advanced prostate cancer: An overview of the randomized trials. Lancet 2000:355:1491-8.
- Schmitt B, Bennett C, Seidenfeld J, Samson D, Wilt T. Maximal androgen blockade for advanced prostate cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2000;2:CD001526.
- Schmitt B, Wilt TJ, Schellhammer PF, De Masi V, Sartor O, Crawford ED, et al. Combined androgen blockade with non-steroidal antiandrogens for advanced prostate cancer: A systematic review. Urology 2001;57:727-32.
- Samson DJ, Seidenfeld J, Schmitt B, Hasselblad V, Albertsen PC, Bennett CL, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of monotherapy compared with combined androgen blockade for patients with advanced prostate carcinoma. Cancer 2002;95:361-76.
- Collette L, Studer UE, Schroder FH, Denis LJ, Sylvester RJ. Why phase III
 trials of maximal androgen blockade versus castration in M1 prostate cancer
 rarely show statistically significant differences. Prostate 2001;48:29-39.
- Akaza H, Hinotsu S, Usami M, Arai Y, Kanetake H, Naito S, et al. Study Group for the Combined Androgen Blockade Therapy of Prostate Cancer. Combined androgen blockade with bicalutamide for advanced prostate cancer: Long-term follow-up of a phase 3, double-blind, randomized study for survival. Cancer 2009;115:3437-45.
- Oefelein MG, Feng A, Scolieri MJ, Ricchiutti D, Resnick MI. Reassessment of the definition of castrate levels of testosterone: implications for clinical decision making. Urology 2000;56:1021-4.
- Oefelein MG, Cornum R. Failure to achieve castrate levels of testosterone during luteinizing hormone releasing hormone agonist therapy: The case for monitoring serum testosterone and a treatment decision algorithm. J Urol 2000;164:726-9.
- Abrahamsson PA. Potential benefits of intermittent androgen suppression therapy in the treatment of prostate cancer: A systematic review of the literature. Eur Urol 2010;57:49-59.
- Hussain M, Tangen CM, Higano C, Schelhammer PF, Faulkner J, Crawford ED, et al. Absolute prostate-specific antigen value after androgen deprivation is a strong independent predictor of survival in new metastatic prostate cancer: Data from Southwest Oncology Group Trial 9346 (INT-0162). J Clin Oncol 2006;24:3984-90.
- Lane TM, Ansell W, Farrugia D, Wilson P, Williams G, Chinegwundoh F, et al. Long-term outcomes in patients with prostate cancer managed with intermittent androgen suppression. Urol Int 2004;73:117-22.
- Mottet N, Goussard M, Loulidi S, Wolff J. Intermittent versus continuous maximal androgen blockade in metastatic (D2) prostate cancer patients. A randomized trial. Eur Urol Suppl 2009;8:131.
- Verhagen PC, Wissenburg LD, Wildhagen MF, Bolle WA, Verkerk AM, Schröder FH, et al. Quality of life effects of intermittent and continuous hormonal therapy by cyproterone acetate (CPA) for metastatic prostate cancer. Eur Urol Suppl 2008;7:206.
- Tunn UW, Canepa G, Hillger H, Fuchs W. Intermittent androgen deprivation in patients with PSA relapse after radical prostatectomy–final results of a European randomized prospective phase-III clinical trial, AUO study AP 06/95, EC 507 [abstract 600]. J Urol 2007;177:201.
- de Leval J, Boca P, Yousef E, Nicolas H, Jeukenne M, Seidel L, et al. Intermittent versus continuous total androgen blockade in the treatment of patients with advanced hormone-naive prostate cancer: results of a

- prospective randomized multicenter trial. Clin Prostate Cancer 2002;1:163-71.

 82. Miller K, Steiner U, Lingnau A, Keilholz U, Witzsch U, Haider A, *et al.*Pandomised prospective study of intermittent versus continuous and cooperations.
- Randomised prospective study of intermittent versus continuous androgen suppression in advanced prostate cancer. J Clin Oncol 2007;1;25.
- Calais da Silva FE, Bono AV, Whelan P, Brausi M, Marques Queimadelos A, Martin JA, et al. Intermittent androgen deprivation for locally advanced and metastatic prostate cancer: Results from a randomised phase 3 study of the South European Uroncological Group. Eur Urol 2009;55:1269-77.
- Irani J, Celhay O, Hubert J, Bladou F, Ragni E, Trape G, et al. Continuous versus six months a year maximal androgen blockade in the management of prostate cancer: A randomised study. Eur Urol 2008;54:382-91.
- Higano C, Shields A, Wood N, Brown J, Tangen C. Bone mineral density in patients with prostate cancer without bone metastases treated with intermittent androgen suppression. Urology 2004;64:1182-6.
- Cherrier MM, Aubin S, Higano CS. Cognitive and mood changes in men undergoing intermittent combined androgen blockade for non-metastatic prostate cancer. Psychooncology 2009;18:237-47.
- Shaw GL, Wilson P, Cuzick J, Prowse DM, Goldenberg SL, Spry NA, et al. International study into the use of intermittent hormone therapy in the treatment of carcinoma of the prostate: A meta-analysis of 1446 patients. BJU Int 2007;99:1056-65.
- Salonen AJ, Viitanen J, Lundstedt S, Ala-Opas M, Taari K, Tammela TL, et al. Finnish multicenter study comparing intermittent to continuous androgen deprivation for advanced prostate cancer: interim analysis of prognostic markers affecting initial response to androgen deprivation. J Urol 2008;180:915-9.
- Boccon-Gibod L, Hammerer P, Madersbacher S, Mottet N, Prayer-Galetti T, Tunn U. The role of intermittent androgen deprivation in prostate cancer. BJU Int 2007;100:738-43.
- Small EJ, Vogelzang NJ. Second-line hormonal therapy for advanced prostate cancer: a shifting paradigm. J Clin Oncol 1997;15:382-8.
- 91. Ryan CJ, Small EJ. Role of secondary hormonal therapy in the management of recurrent disease. Urology 2003;62:87-94.
- Dupont A, Gomez JL, Cusan L, Koutsilieris M, Labrie F. Response to flutamide withdrawal in advanced prostate cancer in progression under combination therapy. J Urol 1993;150:908-13.
- Sartor AO, Tangen CM, Hussain MH, Eisenberger MA, Parab M, Fontana JA, et al. Antiandrogen withdrawal in castrate-refractory prostate cancer: a Southwest Oncology Group trial (SWOG 9426). Cancer 2008;112:2393-400.
- Small EJ, Halabi S, Dawson NA, Stadler WM, Rini BI, Picus J, et al. Antiandrogen withdrawal alone or in combination with ketoconazole in androgen-independent prostate cancer patients: a phase III trial (CALGB 9583). J Clin Oncol 2004;22:1025-33.
- Suzuki H, Okihara K, Miyake H, Fujisawa M, Miyoshi S, Matsumoto T, et al. Nonsteroidal Antiandrogen Sequential Alternation for Prostate Cancer Study Group. Alternative nonsteroidal antiandrogen therapy for advanced prostate cancer that relapsed after initial maximum androgen blockade. J Urol 2008;180:921-7.
- Tannock IF, de Wit R, Berry WR, Horti J, Pluzanska A, Chi KN, et al. Docetaxel plus prednisone or mitoxantrone plus prednisone for advanced prostate cancer. N Engl J Med 2004;351:1502-12.
- Berthold DR, Pond GR, Soban F, de Wit R, Eisenberger M, Tannock IF. Docetaxel plus prednisone or mitoxantrone plus prednisone for advanced prostate cancer: Updated survival in the TAX 327 study. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:242-5.
- Petrylak DP, Tangen CM, Hussain MH, Lara PN Jr, Jones JA, Taplin M, et al. Docetaxel and estramustine compared with mitoxantrone and prednisone for advanced refractory prostate cancer. New Engl J Med 2004;351:1513-20.
- Manni A, Bartholomew M, Caplan R, Boucher A, Santen R, Lipton A, et al. Androgen priming and chemotherapy in advanced prostate cancer: evaluation of determinants of clinical outcome. J Clin Oncol 1988;6:1456-66.
- Taylor CD, Elson P, Trump DL. Importance of continued testicular suppression in hormone-refractory prostate cancer. J Clin Oncol 1993;11:2167-72.
- Hussain M, Wolf M, Marshall E, Crawford ED, Eisenberger M. Effects of continued androgen deprivation therapy and other prognostic factors

- on response and survival in phase II chemotherapy trials for hormonerefractory prostate cancer: A Southwest Oncology Group report. J Clin Oncol 1994:12:1868-75.
- 102. de Bono JS, Oudard S, Ozguroglu M, Hansen S, Machiels JP, Kocak I, et al. Prednisone plus cabazitaxel or mitoxantrone for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer progressing after docetaxel treatment: a randomised open-label trial. Lancet 2010;376:1147-54.
- Saad F, Gleason DM, Murray R, Tchekmedyian S, Venner P, Lacombe L, et al. Zoledronic Acid Prostate Cancer Study Group. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of zoledronic acid in patients with hormone-refractory metastatic prostate carcinoma. J Natl Cancer Inst 2002;94:1458-68.
- Saad F, Gleason DM, Murray R, Tchekmedyian S, Venner P, Lacombe L, et al. Zoledronic Acid Prostate Cancer Study Group. Long-term efficacy

- of zoledronic acid for the prevention of skeletal complications in patients with metastatic hormone-refractory prostate cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2004:96:879-82
- Aapro M, Abrahamsson PA, Body JJ, Coleman RE, Colomer R, Costa L, et al. Guidance on the use of bisphosphonates in solid tumours: Recommendations of an international expert panel. Ann Oncol 2008;19:420-32.
- 106. Fizazi K, Carducci MA, Smith MR, Damião R, Brown JE, Karsh L, et al. A randomized phase III trial of denosumab versus zoledronic acid in patients with bone metastases from castration resistant prostate cancer. J Clin Oncol 2010:28:18s.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None.

Author Help: Reference checking facility

The manuscript system (www.journalonweb.com) allows the authors to check and verify the accuracy and style of references. The tool checks the references with PubMed as per a predefined style. Authors are encouraged to use this facility, before submitting articles to the journal.

- The style as well as bibliographic elements should be 100% accurate, to help get the references verified from the system. Even a single spelling error or addition of issue number/month of publication will lead to an error when verifying the reference.
- Example of a correct style
 Sheahan P, O'leary G, Lee G, Fitzgibbon J. Cystic cervical metastases: Incidence and diagnosis using fine needle aspiration biopsy.
 Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2002;127:294-8.
- Only the references from journals indexed in PubMed will be checked.
- Enter each reference in new line, without a serial number.
- · Add up to a maximum of 15 references at a time.
- If the reference is correct for its bibliographic elements and punctuations, it will be shown as CORRECT and a link to the correct article in PubMed will be given.
- If any of the bibliographic elements are missing, incorrect or extra (such as issue number), it will be shown as INCORRECT and link to possible articles in PubMed will be given.